

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,820	04/30/2001	Takahiko Sato	108397-00042	9942
75	590 09/05/2002			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339		LUU, AN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,820	SATO, TAKAHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	An T. Luu	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the corr spondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	August 2002 .					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Expanto dadylo, 1000 0.5. 11,					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,12 and 13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		atent Application (F 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/843,820

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "for activating the reset... of the internal circuit", lines 2-4 of claim 1, is not well written to reflect the invention of the instant application as disclosed in page 3, lines 12-16 of the specification. For the purpose of examining, these lines are interpreted as "for activating the reset signal *after* a predetermined period *following* the initial... of the internal circuit". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Sawada reference (U.S. Patent 5,365,481).

Sawada discloses in figures 1-2 an apparatus comprising power-on reset circuit 19 for activating a reset signal POR in response to an initial supply of a power supply Vcc to initialize an internal circuit 10, and for inactivating the reset signal after a predetermined period following the initial supply to terminate an initialization of the internal circuit (col. 8, lines 17-36); and a timing changing circuit 18d (figure 2) for adjusting the predetermined period (col. 10, lines 5-9) as required by claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Sawada reference (U.S. Patent 5,365,481) in view of the Mckinney reference (U.S. Patent 5,389,843).

Sawada discloses all the claimed invention except for teaching (timing changing circuit) delay circuit to change an inactivation timing of the reset signal in accordance with a first set of signals. McKinney teaches in his sole figure a programmable delay circuit to be programmed by a set of signals (LSB...MSB) to adjust the delay time of a delay circuit. It is well known in the art that a delay circuit can be implemented in many different ways. And it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of McKinney into the invention of Sawada because a skilled artisan would easily recognize such a combination would provide better adjustment of time delay of the power-on reset signal in Sawada's invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 7-9 and 12-13 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 3-6 and 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2816

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose an apparatus comprising elements being configured as recited in claims. Specifically, none of the prior art teaches a voltage generator as recited on lines 2-5 of claim 3; a voltage generator and a signal selection circuit limitations as required by claim 7.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 703-308-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

An T. Luu

August 30, 2002